OWBITL PROTECTION
Some Man
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	
AIRS ID#: 0250650 DA FACILITY NAME: AD	TE: <u>8/3/2010</u> DONEL CONCRETE PUMPING	ARRIVE: <u>10:01 AM</u> AND FINISHING	DEPART: <u>11:31 AM</u>
FACILITY LOCATION OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email:	N: 2101 NW 110 AVE MIAMI 33172 CD REPRESENTATIVE: GER	ARDO GARCIA PHO M Mobil	NE: (305)392-5416 e:
CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 7/1/2005 / 7/1/2010 I (effective date) (end date)	PHON Mobile Facility may be operating w	e:
PART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-COMP	· · ·	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
 (check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?- Are emissions frocontrolled to the edit During visible emissions from the silo dust 	assions tests conducted during this a own silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) extent necessary to limit visible er hissions tests of the silo dust collect presentative of the normal silo loa s unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA M), and other enclosed storage nissions to 5 percent opacity ctor exhaust points was the lo ding rate, or at least at the m eration controlled by the silo ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test?	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes X No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(0	check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1	. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	:
2	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processin plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)	ng □Yes □Yes
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	

calendar year?	Yes No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes INO
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No

⊠ No ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

🛛 No
🛛 No
🛛 No
No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

8/3/2010

Date of Inspection

8/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: JODI BECK FROM SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONDUCTED FOUR (4) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THREE SILOS AND A CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR. ALL SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT/SLAG AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVED ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE VE TESTS.

DEYANIRHAH MONTALVAN FROM ADONEL CONCRETE ATTENDED ME. THE FACILITY'S ENTITLEMENT PERIOD EXPIRED ON JULY 1, 2010. A NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NOV) WILL BE MAILED TO THE OWNER, MR. GERARDO GARCIA.